

Amendments to the Drawings

Figures 1 and 2 (Sheet 1 of the drawings) are amended, as required by the Examiner, to add the legend "prior art". An annotated marked-up sheet of the drawing page is enclosed, with the amendments shown in red ink. A separate replacement sheet is also enclosed. It is noted that the drawings sheets are provided in fulfillment of the requirements under MPEP § 608.02(p).

Remarks

The Applicants respectfully thank the Examiner for the allowance of claims 1-8.

As requested in the outstanding *Ex parte Quayle* action, the Applicants have made the following amendments.

Specification

The specification has been amended to include the priority claim to the earlier-filed provisional application. This priority claim was properly made in the Application Data Sheet, which was filed with, and is part of, this application. Thus, while this amendment is not necessary, it is being made to satisfy the Examiner's request.

Drawings

Figures 1 and 2 have been labeled as "Prior Art", as requested by the Examiner in the Office Action dated April 5, 2005. An annotated marked-up sheet of the drawing page is enclosed, with the amendments shown in red ink. A separate replacement sheet is also enclosed. It is noted that the drawings sheets are provided in fulfillment of the requirements under MPEP § 608.02(p).

Other Matters

Claim 1 has been amended to further clarify that the rectangular bag described has corners, as requested by the Examiner in the Office Action dated April 5, 2005.

It is also noted that this paper is being accompanied by a Request for Continued Examination Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 (RCE). The RCE is being filed to permit

consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement, which also accompanies this paper.

Conclusion

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-8 are pending in the application, with claim 1 being the independent claim. These changes are believed to introduce no new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

Based on the above amendment and remarks, Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the *Ex parte Quayle* action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Michael B. Ray
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 33,997

Date: 6/3/05

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
(202) 371-2600

MBR/agj/jeg
385857_1.DOC